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Abstract: In improving the welfare of women asset ownership plays a key role. Therefore this paper examined asset ownership by women in urban and rural South West (SW) Nigeria. Secondary data from Demographic and Health Survey 2013 was used. Data on 1551 rural and 4323 urban women in SW Nigeria was used. Information on their socio-economic characteristics and assets (physical and natural) was obtained. Data analysis was done using descriptive statistics, multiple correspondence analysis and probit regression. In rural and urban South West the mean age was 30 years. The mean household size in rural and urban SW was 6 and 5 persons respectively. Mobile telephone has a proportionally far higher weight (0.043, 0.050) and radio too (0.037, 0.042) than the remaining assets in rural and urban SW. From the probit regression nine variables were found to be statistically significant at various levels in both rural and urban South West. The significant variables in rural SW were age (25-34) (-0.30), age 35-49 (0.51), employed in agric and allied sector (0.17), incomplete secondary school education (-0.14), complete secondary school education (-0.16), higher education (0.84), being a female household head (0.17), marital status-married (0.63). In the urban SW the variables that are significant are age-35-49 (-0.32), skilled and unskilled employment (0.13), employed in agric. and allied sector (-0.50), employed in the services sector (0.10), incomplete secondary education (0.24), complete secondary education (0.28), household sized 6-10 persons (-0.12), >10 persons household size (-0.23). Conceited efforts should therefore be put in place by women agencies to enact policies that will help women to own more assets.
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1. Introduction

Assets may be intangible in form of social capital, skills and knowledge or tangible in form of equipment, farmland, livestock and dwellings [1]. Assets could also be productive/non-productive assets. Productive assets such as human capital (time, skills), natural (land, livestock, water, and forest), financial capital and fixed capital are those that are used as inputs in the production process. On the other hand, non-productive assets yield income through transfers or capital gains when assets are liquidated. [2] stated that the two categories of assets offer a source of income and a store of wealth. Assets helps to generate livelihoods and buffer the effect of common disasters of diseases, flooding and drought that affect many African countries [3]. Assets can also be distinguished based on ownership and can be solely held by persons and collectively held without access restrictions. [4] revealed that the poor in Nigeria, majority of whom are women and rural based, are usually confronted with lack of assets. Women in the rural areas tend to have less assets than women in the urban areas because they lack access to amenities like electricity, good road network and effective communication through mobile phones. Access and ownership of productive assets have positive impact on the welfare of women and their household [5, 6]. According to [7] the ownership of assets can provide important sources of income for women and facilitate access to credit, enabling investments into education and other productive assets. Assets strengthen women’s ability to cope with and respond to economic shock as a store of wealth [8]. There has been a great focus on asset ownership at household level, this disallow analysis in asset ownership between men and women. Important development outcomes including household food security and human capital formation have been positively influenced by women’s asset holdings [9]. Hence, facilitating women in gaining more access to productive assets would
help them to improve their well-being and that of their household. In this paper asset ownership among women in rural and urban South West Nigeria was examined. Factors influencing the ownership of assets in rural and urban South West was also determined. This paper is in line with the Sustainable Development goals (SDGs) which is to promote gender equity (Goal 5) and ending poverty in all forms (Goal 1). This would enable government and non-government organizations come up with policies to help women in the accumulation of assets.

2. Methodology

The study area for this research is South West Nigeria. This is one of the geopolitical zones in Nigeria. It comprises of six states namely Lagos, Ogun, Oyo, Osun, Ondo and Ekiti. The major language in this zone is Yoruba with many local dialects even within each state. There are majorly two distinct seasons in Nigeria; the dry season (November - February) and the rainy season (March - November) [10]. Secondary data from [11] was used for this study. The sample for Demographic and Health Survey 2013 was a stratified sample. From the sampling frame used for this study. The sample was selected independently in three stages and each state was separated into urban and rural areas. Four thousand three hundred and twenty three (4323) women in urban and One thousand five hundred and fifty one (1551) women in rural SW were interviewed. The total data of 4323 and 1551 women in rural and urban South West were used for analysis.

The analytical techniques used for this study are descriptive statistics, Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA) and Probit regression.

Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA)

MCA was used to assess the asset ownership by generating the asset indices for women. MCA is similar to principal components analysis or factor analysis. According to [12] the only difference is that MCA is suitable for categorical variables. Thus, MCA is suitable for this study as most variables are categorical. In simple terms, $aj$ represents the weight of class $j$ and $Rij$ the classification of woman $i$ to class $j$,

then the asset index score of a woman $i$ is:

$$MCA_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} aj Rij$$

This index can then be normalized between 0 and 1 to allow for inter temporal and cross region comparisons by the following formula

$$\text{normalised } _{MCA_i} = \frac{MCA_i-\min(MCA)}{\max(MCA)-\min(MCA)}$$

The assets to be considered include Physical and Natural

Probit regression
The explanatory variables used in this model were selected from literature. These include women’s socio-economic characteristics and household characteristics. The relationship between the probability of owning assets or not, $Pi$, and its determinants, $xi$, is given as:

$$P_i = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} x_{1} + \beta_{2} x_{2} + \ldots + \beta_{n} x_{n}$$

3. Results and Discussion

Socio-Economic Characteristics of Women in South West

In Table 1, 35.7% of women are within 35-49 years closely followed by women within 25-34 years (33.6%) in rural SW, while in the urban area 33.6% of women are within 15-24 years and between 25-34 years. There are older women in rural area compared to the urban area. This could be because younger women in the urban areas attend colleges and universities and are involved in white collar jobs. The mean age of women is 30 years in the study areas. Twenty four percent of women in rural South West have incomplete secondary education while a higher percentage has complete secondary (35.8%) in the urban area. In SW, women in the urban areas are more educated. Women in rural SW have a mean household size of 6 with mean household size of 5 for women in urban SW. Women in urban areas have small
household size because they may have white collar jobs and may not have enough time to take care of many children. On the other hand, in rural areas women can afford to have medium to large household size since their households need farm labour and they can also carry their children to the farm to work. Women in urban and rural SW are married (64.8% and 62.7%). Women in the South West are mostly employed in the service sector (47.1% and 61.3%)

**Table 1. Socio economic Characteristics of women.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>1454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>1441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>1428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No education</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete primary school</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete primary school</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete secondary school</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete secondary school</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>1549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary education</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>2839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>1394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>1523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>2800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>1202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled and unskilled</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agric and allied</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>2648</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s computation 2013 DHS data

Profile of asset ownership

In Table 2, Majority (81.5% and 94.8%) of women in South West own mobile telephone. It is the most owned asset in the study area. Seventy and eighty percent of women in urban and rural SW had radio ownership, 84.2% of women in urban south west owned television making it to be the second most owned asset, urban women can assess information through this unlike women in the rural women where only 4.3% own television. Hence, television ownership has implications for their wellbeing and that of their household. Most of the women in the rural SW do not own assets such as generating set, refrigerator, electric iron, fan. More women in urban SW owned productive assets like generating set and refrigerator; ownership of these assets could improve their welfare and help their businesses. The ownership of productive assets by women in the study area could boost peace of mind and high mental development, good health thus making life comfortable.

Also as revealed in table 2, 7.7%, 36.6%, 24.8%, owned car/truck, motorcycle/scooter and bicycle, respectively in rural SW while in urban SW more women own car/truck which is the most preferred means of transportation in this group. The ownership of these assets are low probably because of the high cost of purchase or poor road network. Fast and timely delivery of rural products especially the perishable products are made possible through good transportation thereby improving profitability and income of women.

In Table 3 women do not own land in rural and urban SW, 82.9% and 86.0% while 83.4% and 89.7% do not own house. In Africa culture women are not allowed to own land. Land ownership is of utmost importance as it can be of great importance to women especially during difficult times [13].

**Table 2. Distribution of Asset ownership in rural and urban South West.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>1082</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle/scooter</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile telephone</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric iron</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal drawn cart</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat with a motor</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generating set</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoe</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air conditioner</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable Television</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car/ truck</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigerator</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>11.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s computation 2013 DHS data
The asset ownership by women was assessed using Multiple Correspondence analysis. Table 4 shows the weights assigned to each asset. Mobile telephone has a proportionally far higher weight (0.36) than house indicative of the fact that the prevalence of mobile phone and radio ownership is very high in rural and urban SW. Mobile telephone has a proportionally far higher weight (0.36) than house indicative of the fact that the prevalence of mobile phone and radio ownership is very high in rural and urban SW. Mobile telephone has a proportionally far higher weight (0.36) than house indicative of the fact that the prevalence of mobile phone and radio ownership is very high in rural and urban SW.

The total MCA value for the assets each woman owned was generated and the total asset index was determined. The mean of this index is 0.75 and 0.78 in rural and urban south west respectively. Therefore any woman that has a value below the mean is asset deprived. 43.52% and 42.82% has a value below the mean and thus do not have enough assets while 56.48% and 57.18% have enough assets. These women have assets generally but the assets they own are not productive and not enough to better their lives.

### Table 3. Land and House Ownership.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Ownership</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not own</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own Alone</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own Jointly</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alone and Jointly</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Ownership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not own</td>
<td>1293</td>
<td>83.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own Alone</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own Jointly</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alone and Jointly</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s computation 2013 DHS data

### Asset Ownership Using MCA

In line with a priori expectation, all the significant variables had the expected signs that depict the effect they had on asset ownership. The significant variables in urban south west were age 35-49 (-0.32), skilled and unskilled employment (0.13), employed in agric and allied sector (-0.50), employed in the services sector (0.10), female household head (0.17), married status-married (0.63). In the urban the variables that are significant are age-35-49 (-0.32), skilled and unskilled employment (0.13), employed in agric and allied sector (-0.50), female household head (0.17), married status-married (0.63). In the urban the variables that are significant are age-35-49 (-0.32), skilled and unskilled employment (0.13), employed in agric and allied sector (-0.50), female household head (0.17), married status-married (0.63).

In urban south west the age of women (35-49) was negatively related to asset ownership and statistically significant at 1% which implies that as the age of women increases, the tendency for them to own more assets reduces. The coefficients of women in skilled and unskilled was positive and significant at 10%. Women involved in this type of employment have a lower probability of owning more assets compared to their counterparts who are unemployed.

The coefficient of women in agric. and allied sector is negative and significant at 5%. Women involved with this type of employment have a lower probability of owning more assets compared to women who are unemployed.

The educational attainment of women (incomplete secondary, complete secondary) is positive and significant at 5% and 1% respectively, implying that completion of secondary school education is important for women in urban south west in owning assets.

The coefficient of household size (6-10 persons and > 10 persons) was negative and significant at 1% and 10% respectively. This implies that women in medium/large households have a lower probability of owning assets as compared to women with small household size. In urban areas women are involved with jobs that are time demanding and thus they have less time for their families. Thus this may form their decision on having small households.

In rural South west the coefficients for women aged 35-49 years was positively related to asset ownership and statistically significant at 1%. This shows that as the age of...
women increases their asset ownership increases. In rural areas as women get older they have more bargaining power and social capital [14]. This could help in asset accumulation.

Agriculture and allied employment type was positively significant at 10%. This means that the asset ownership increased with increase in the number of women engaged in agriculture and allied when compared to women that are unemployed. Agriculture is a major sector that people in the rural areas are involved with. Women in this sector have discovered ways to thrive in this sector and this also aid their asset ownership.

The educational attainment of incomplete secondary and complete secondary school are negative while higher education was positive. In rural South West women need to have a higher education to own more assets. Women who are married have a positive coefficient and it was significant at 10%. Women who are married tend to own more assets compared to women who are single.

### Table 5. Factors influencing asset ownership in rural and urban South West.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>Rural Coefficients</th>
<th>Rural standard error</th>
<th>Urban Coefficients</th>
<th>Urban standard error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (b: 15-24 years) 25-34 years</td>
<td>-0.3002**</td>
<td>0.1062</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49 years</td>
<td>0.5102***</td>
<td>0.1133</td>
<td>-0.3176***</td>
<td>0.0707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment (b: unemployed) Skilled and Unskilled</td>
<td>-0.1540</td>
<td>0.1457</td>
<td>0.1341*</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agric. and allied</td>
<td>0.1656*</td>
<td>0.1275</td>
<td>-0.5098**</td>
<td>0.1669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0.1212</td>
<td>0.1086</td>
<td>0.1001*</td>
<td>0.0570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment (b: No formal education) Incomplete primary</td>
<td>-0.1803</td>
<td>0.1681</td>
<td>-0.1361</td>
<td>0.1586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete primary</td>
<td>-0.0170</td>
<td>0.1021</td>
<td>0.1568</td>
<td>0.1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete secondary</td>
<td>-0.1378*</td>
<td>0.1067</td>
<td>0.2408**</td>
<td>0.1109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete secondary</td>
<td>-0.1634*</td>
<td>0.1072</td>
<td>0.2849***</td>
<td>0.1068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>0.8379***</td>
<td>0.1554</td>
<td>-0.1696</td>
<td>0.1099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex of household head (b: Male) Female</td>
<td>0.1667*</td>
<td>0.1245</td>
<td>-0.0271</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of household (b: 1-5) 6-10</td>
<td>-0.0432</td>
<td>0.0735</td>
<td>-0.1145***</td>
<td>0.0450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-0.1899</td>
<td>0.1496</td>
<td>-0.2301*</td>
<td>0.1424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (b: single) Married</td>
<td>0.0352*</td>
<td>0.0676</td>
<td>0.0343</td>
<td>0.0407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.6279</td>
<td>0.1955</td>
<td>0.1480</td>
<td>0.1449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log likelihood</td>
<td>-1015.8341</td>
<td>-2866.2071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi square</td>
<td>92.35</td>
<td>171.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***P<0.01 significant at 1%, **P<0.05 significant at 5%, *P<0.1 significant at 1%

Source: Author’s computation, 2013 DHS data b: base category

Physical and natural assets are the asset dimension considered in this study. Asset ownership is a means to reduce hunger and acute poverty which is the first SDG goal. [15] suggests considering asset ownership as a means to facilitating gender equality perspectives addressed by the third SDG. [16] opined that the ownership of physical assets can cause a decline in the probability of being monetarily poor. Assets are useful for smoothing consumption especially in developing countries where the poor often experience income volatility [17]. Therefore assets are likely to capture more closely the permanent part of consumption for households or individuals [18]. Thus a lack of assets could be considered to be a good substitute for chronic poverty [19].

In theory, analyzing the ownership of assets is an important way to explore inequality issues among household members. [20] stated that women’s bargaining power within the household may be related to their possession of assets. In African countries, most surveys revealed that the possession of durable goods is not assigned individually but often credited to the whole family.

## 4. Conclusion

It is evidenced from this paper that women in rural south west do no own enough assets needed for their improved welfare compared to their urban counterparts. Based on the outcome of the study, asset ownership especially productive assets among rural women should be encouraged by government and non-governmental organisations. Women that own assets could be better than others in the provision of basic needs and through investments in future generations such as health care, education, and training, while those lacking assets are prone to poverty, shocks and disasters.

Government should also put in place policies to encourage women not only to have access to these assets but to own them and in implementing these policies the men should be carried along. Educational facilities should be provided in rural south west and girls should be encouraged to go to school because education was one of the key factors affecting asset ownership in urban SW.
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